

Robert T. Cruzen (State Bar No. 203658)

[rob.cruzen@klarquist.com](mailto:rob.cruzen@klarquist.com)

Jeffrey S. Love (State Bar No. 195068)

[jeffrey.love@klarquist.com](mailto:jeffrey.love@klarquist.com)

Garth A. Winn (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

[garth.winn@klarquist.com](mailto:garth.winn@klarquist.com)

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600

Portland, Oregon 97204-2988

Telephone: (503) 595-5300

Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

Michael J. Bettinger (State Bar No. 122196)

[mike.bettinger@klgates.com](mailto:mike.bettinger@klgates.com)

Rachel R. Davidson (State Bar No. 215517)

[rachel.davidson@klgates.com](mailto:rachel.davidson@klgates.com)

K&L Gates LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200

San Francisco, California 94111-5994

Telephone: (415) 882-8200

Facsimile: (415) 882-8220

(Counsel Continued on Subsequent Page)

*Attorneys for Defendants*

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**SAN JOSE DIVISION**

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES

AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and  
IGT,

Defendants.

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (PVT)

**STIPULATED REQUEST AND  
[] ORDER TO EXTEND  
TIME TO FILE MOTION RE  
RESPONSES RE "REVIVAL-BASED"  
COUNTERCLAIMS IN PLAINTIFFS'  
AMENDED ANSWER**

STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND  
TIME TO MOVE RE RESPONSES  
RE REVIVAL-BASED COUNTERCLAIMS

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (PVT)

1 Eric L. Wesenberg (State Bar No. 139696)  
2 [ewesenberg@orrick.com](mailto:ewesenberg@orrick.com)  
3 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
4 1000 Marsh Road  
5 Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015  
6 Telephone: (650) 614-7400  
7 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401

8 *Attorneys for Defendants*  
9 INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND  
TIME TO MOVE RE RESPONSES  
RE REVIVAL-BASED COUNTERCLAIMS

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (PVT)

Plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Limited and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. (collectively, "Aristocrat") filed their Amended Answer to Defendants International Game Technology and IGT's (collectively, "IGT") Counterclaims on August 16, 2010. Dkt 906. IGT contends that many of the paragraphs in Aristocrat's Amended Answer that respond to the paragraphs relating to the revival-based counterclaims are improper. Aristocrat disagrees. While the parties have met and conferred regarding their disagreement, they believe that further conferences may produce a resolution without the need for motion practice. Accordingly, the parties request that the Court order that IGT's deadline to file a motion regarding those paragraphs related to the "revival-based" counterclaims in Aristocrat's Amended Answer be set for September 24, 2010. As set forth in the Declaration of Robert Cruzen filed herewith, extending the deadline in this manner will not affect any other date the Court has set in this case.

Dated: September 10, 2010

By: /s/ Robert T. Cruzen

Robert T. Cruzen (State Bar No. 203658)

[rob.cruzen@klarquist.com](mailto:rob.cruzen@klarquist.com)

Jeffrey S. Love (State Bar No. 195068)

[jeffrey.love@klarquist.com](mailto:jeffrey.love@klarquist.com)

Garth A. Winn (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

[garth.winn@klarquist.com](mailto:garth.winn@klarquist.com)

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600

Portland, Oregon 97204-2988

Telephone: (503) 595-5300

Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

*Attorneys for Defendants*

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT

1 Dated: September 10, 2010

By: /s/ Jeremy T. Elman

TERRENCE P. MCMAHON (State Bar No. 71910)  
[tmcmahon@mwe.com](mailto:tmcmahon@mwe.com)

ANTHONY de ALCUAZ (State Bar No. 65599)  
[adealcuaz@mwe.com](mailto:adealcuaz@mwe.com)

JEREMY T. ELMAN (State Bar No. 223696)  
[jelman@mwe.com](mailto:jelman@mwe.com)

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Telephone: (650) 815-7400  
Facsimile: (650) 815-7401

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY  
LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

11 Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that  
12 concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

13 Dated: September 10, 2010

By: /s/ Robert T. Cruzen

27 STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND  
28 TIME TO MOVE RE RESPONSES  
RE REVIVAL-BASED COUNTERCLAIMS

**ORDER**

Having reviewed the parties' **STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE MOTION RE RESPONSES RE "REVIVAL-BASED" COUNTERCLAIMS IN PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED ANSWER**, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The parties' Stipulated Request is GRANTED.

IGT shall have until September 24, 2010, to file a motion regarding the paragraphs in Plaintiffs' Amended Answer that pertain to the revival-based counterclaims.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 9/17/10



Hon. Ronald M. Whyte  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT